



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,957	06/18/2001	Rose Ramon Botella Mesa	229752001300	3466
7590 09/24/2008				
Barry E Bretschneider Morrison & Foerster 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006-1888			EXAMINER MARVICH, MARIA	
			ART UNIT 1633	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/24/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/763,957

**Applicant(s)**

BOTELLA MESA ET AL.

**Examiner**

MARIA B. MARVICH

**Art Unit**

1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARIA B. MARVICH.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Laura Chung.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 9, 11-15 and 19-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants has filed an amendment in response to an office action filed 11/29/07. The amendments were discussed in light of the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. A cursory review suggests that the claim amendments have overcome the rejection. However, a more thorough examination is required to access the rejection in full.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maria B Marvich, PhD/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required